

"<sup>23</sup>" refs: Vienna  
Paris

— Hitler interv  
— " notes

My CFR seminar

— Crisis Pattern — F. MA.

[ Assassin

Dilection ]

— Mikoyan

— BSR - Aug<sup>23</sup>, before 6

— Hilfssatz speech (FU) (Chagrin) (Hans)

my proposed message to K, by JFK 7th up!

JFK Aug 25<sup>th</sup> speech

CHECK WITH RIKYNE !

- Effect of Hilfssatz — on hastiness; desire to change "balance" (of FS)

- Aim of SU to match/equal US FS cap — here, without  
US FS threat (e.g. on Berlin) (allow SU to offer more middle),  
as to China: to threats SU 2nd-use, without so much fearing  
US escalation (but it targets SU FS);

||| US FS threat rested on MONOPOLY OF FS/PREEMPTIVE THREAT,  
||| HENCE MONOPOLY OR THREAT TO ESCALATE.

(Jang, in '90s: aggressivity = FS threat  
or even part " " " "

is a better basis for US threat of FS unless  
there is absence of FS threat & US  
be on either side  
or (want) SU monopoly of FS threat  
(= US, 1955-64)

- dict with Berlin (i.e. warning) + detention

- JFK warning before Oct 15 (K anticipated, counted on?)

Out Planning } Est JFK gave more power to switched to SSMs  
+ PSALM } or (with experts SSMs, or big war) thought be right  
                  inside (as of as, Sept, Oct - a real risk, in  
                  without SSMs - as PDS judges, 9.2.89)

- US  
- Dipl. relations. to Cuba (UN...)

- US "influence" of states (JFK - McNamara - willing to united)

good

1. Lessons:
  - to be cautious with US reaction  
- NOT TO RELY ON LEGAL RESTRAINTS ON US! (Sellers RPR, 505)
  - to not put MRBMs in NVN  
(or Migs in Nicaragua)
  - Not to refrain from aid to DRV  
- to refrain from putting SAMs, Migs, in NVN
  - to seek military parity (the hard way) in Nicaragua  
before expecting diplomatic parity

good

bad

good

US

US:

11. Avoid brinkmanship: warlike crisis.

2. Not: Lessons don't have total control over small allies.
- Not: (immediately): STOP MONGOOSE; give up (?) invasion thoughts.
3. Not: bring in real experts on local affairs: + Lessons.
- McN Claims: McN's laws (immediately): not true, from Cuba II ( $\rightarrow$  VN) 100
4. Lessons always back down in end?

from the  
seas

5. "Gradualist process" is best, + work; avoid "irreversible" action (Ball, 25)  
(Blockade;  $\Rightarrow$  34A, RT, troops ("not irreversible" 49)
- "GOOD DOCTOR" TACTIC (if you expect to "deal" sensitively)  
RNC - pg 28, 65!
6. 34A ( $\Leftarrow$  Mongoose) is worth using.  
(for provocation?)

my good lesson 7. Avoid, if possible, invasion (NVN) that injures big  
(bombs, fuel, + no)  
own - drawn; go as in SU or China. (not so much: that will fail)  
as in Cuba

"uninvited"

8. Not: be ready to make major concessions rather than get in large, limited war  
(maybe: ... only to avoid war with SU or China).

- committing effects of initial combat (RT, troops)

9. No lessons about:
  - actual combat opd us. "McN's law"
  - either a small ally/Client/protector
  - CR, civil war, smaller war
  - public support during long war
10. (LSD, NOT N): Don't rely on RU that.

Q. Motives for US F3 buildup; sol, US F4 threats (Gilpatric) X

(that of Cuban delegates on)

1. Mongoose.

X (only cursory discussion, by officials)

1A. JFK priority to Mongoose; <sup>JFK</sup> hostile for invasion

X (denial)

2. Invasion planning: <sup>Sept '62</sup>  
Oct '62

X (dry winter (MCN)  
or湿润)

3. Assess. efforts.

WAS THERE AN  
INTENT TO BOMB  
NVA, IN 1964?  
TO INVADE SUND?  
(= "NUK?")  
MUN  
SHOULD SU HAVE  
RECOGNIZED THESE,  
OR NOT?

significant  
lack of briefing (by MCN, others) - change in strategic balance, or from

4. JFK willingness to trade

(AM)

IRBMs. ("much" - as assured to Stevenson).

5. RFK offer of private deal.

X (MCN)

6. RFK ultimatum on IRBMs

SECRET: X (MCN)  
[ For Stevenson + MCN: this was a bluff,  
not 48 hrs.

7. RFK warning <sup>new</sup> in shootdown.

X (don't know,  
focus on it)

8. Mongoose after Cuban II: - through March 63

- after " 63 - Nov 63

- 1964

9. PAFM, + similar restrictions on invasion.

X

invasion!

14. hans: What did K "know" about JFK - + USG - from Bay of Pigs? Varina? Wall? Gilpatric? Berlin? Feb 25?

38: non-Berlin  
since of '63

10. hans: What K planned for Berlin, <sup>Mongoose?</sup>

(X)

if missiles arrived? What was his aim, needs, or Varina?  
Also - (How many?)

not just, planned

11. hans: What K under had done, or Berlin or Varina?  
SCHLES, RFK 512  
if missiles struck; or if invasion.

(X)

US: What meant p 23

JFK knew about - 15. How certain was K that JFK used not invasion? What did he think/do/for  
meed both of be said?

if missiles not  
descended, tell  
"operational"?

12. hans: What was intent of warnings + assumed on  
Berlin and on elevation; and type of project  
(not elevation? To invasion forces?) (WHY NOT SAMs FIRST?) (WHAT WAS RUSH?)

13. hans: Did offer of a private deal on IRBMs have any effect  
at the time? Help later? (X)

SENT?  
45, 274-75

Strategy of promotion of  
com. of  
fate of "

(Cuba I; mosquito; TG/34A/Phiar; Minge

- Contra strategy (?) → cause general uprising, revolt,  
by harassing, sabotaging economy (get CAA to defect?  
see CAA, Aug 62  
mid-  
(in 1961, dealing with CAA, Tech Services?) from  
Boston / CAA, Oct 62  
Chile 1970-73; Guatemala?  
(Brazil?)  
Nicaragua?

[Isn't this  
all being  
done to  
Nicaragua?  
(Brazil +  
Cuba)]

- US from (SUA's?) of longitudinal line  
Cuba - Berlin  
on SVAE

- Competition in machismo  
(in CBW/aiders; CBW/RFK; me to Mr. Gordon;  
McG's Tantum; MCN to USA demonstrators;  
Van/VC, Kourou, 72; F. MAS; JFK/RFK/Castro

Brazil - Cuba  
(RFK like R/North vs. Khadafy or Ortega ?!)

Brazil +  
Cuba, 61  
- Díaz Castro exagerate prob. of invasion; manipulate  
Sous, hoping its support + pledge, actually  
into SSNs?

Winebush + Turner

- when did Keating get his estimate, Oct 10

Did JFK believe he had a Rape note, McCone,  
who was forcing his hand?

Was this a silver event - crisis?

How did JFK react?

(see summary of Bowman contact)

(Walter E. Luhn, 1964)

- "First letter" on 26th, always described wrongly;  
2d, also, as "raising the ante". B+W, 255

- 256: more than 2 Dob/RFK talks: one in Embassy,  
one in private?

- Role of emotion:

- F.M.A... visit, etc.

- response to violent attack

370, B+W

- of sons (in SNIE; McKinney; JFK's est. of 18)

- of JFK (to mother near plane)

- of F

- Panama issue (B+W 257) rights

military part

dip. "

- Castro meetings (AA; ...) (us. B/W 259)

in Castro/Marking

- What is the meaning of the Castro/Oron statement?

"New things" - <sup>appropriate</sup> post-war (in Shokunin;  
B+W 259-60)

- N: good vs. bad (Real War)

- our global responsibilities

obligations

commitments

and  
stocks, cover

(result of: allies

China; ME and / or  
West Europe

an strength (expanding)

Came K to JFK at Vienna: ✓

"We have commitments"

Don't "misinterpret"

K: You have subtle ways of making threats

NC

- our rights to think or <sup>use</sup> inflict violence

(both are "uses" of weapons

(for "good," "we say," "truces," "commitments," "expediencies")

(We did not ask to be watched at the  
gates, but there is no one else")

NC

- right to ~~its~~ role, exist

(These follow from use); and right to  
use, opinion force; it "comports"  
"treachery" + "aid" ...

- right to Open Door - "level playing field"

(in others) contains:

at least/act of <sup>and</sup> all other foreign  
countries, <sup>but</sup> ~~but~~ with the  
domestic firms there).

- Open Door wanted to US attacks, if necessary,

vs. (China Migs (1961)), SSMs (SAMs) 1962

Stealth Migs, USA <sup>if not</sup> detected

- N: good vs. bad (Real War)

- our global responsibilities  
obligations  
commitments  
(result of: alliances  
an strong (capacitor))  
and  
stocks, cover  
(Asia; ME and / over  
West Europe)

Can K to JFK at Vienna: ✓

"We have commitments."

Don't "misinterpret"

K: You have subtle ways of making threats

NC

- our rights to think & <sup>use</sup> without coercion  
(both are "ways" of coercion  
(for "good," "we say," "threats," "commitments," "responsibilities"  
(We did not ask to be watched at the  
gates, but there is no one else")

NC

- right to role, exploit  
(These follow from use), and right to  
use, opinion force; it "comports"  
"troll" + "aid" ...

- right to Open Door - "level playing field"

(in other) countries:  
at least/not all of <sup>and</sup> the other foreign  
countries, but <sup>prob. not</sup> the  
domestic firms there).

- Open Door wanted to US attacks, if necessary,  
vs. (China Migs (1961)), SSMs (SAMs) 1962  
Stealthy Migs, USA "if not detected"